

Homework 7
MTH 3220, Fall 2019
Due Tuesday, Nov. 5

| Section in Book | Problems |
|-----------------|--|
| 11.2 | 16 (skip part <i>e</i>), 17*, 18 (skip part <i>b</i>)**, 20*** |
| 11.3 | Problem 1 (given below), 27 (skip part <i>d</i>), 29, 31**** |

* For **Problem 17**, do **Part a** by hand and show your work. You can get the p-value using the **pf()** function in R. **Part b** may be done using the **aov()** function in R. The data are on the course website in the file **ex_11_17.txt**.

```
> my.anova <- aov(CastingHardness ~ Sand + CarbonFiber
+ Sand:CarbonFiber, data = my.data)
> summary(my.anova)
```

Part c is asking for an interaction plot, which you can make in R by typing something like this:

```
> interaction.plot(x.factor = my.data$Sand,
trace.factor = my.data$CarbonFiber,
response = my.data$CastingHardness)
```

** **Problem 18** may be done using the **aov()** function in R, as above. Check the course website for the data (**ex_11_18.txt**). For **Part c**, the factor level means can be obtained via something like:

```
> aggregate(Yield ~ Formulation, data = my.data, FUN = mean)
> aggregate(Yield ~ Speed, data = my.data, FUN = mean)
```

For **Part d**, the fitted values and residuals are obtained via **my.anova\$fitted.values** and **my.anova\$residuals**, and for **Part e**, the plot can be made using:

```
> qqnorm(my.anova$residuals)
> qqline(my.anova$residuals)
```

*** For **Problem 20**, check the course website for the data (**ex_11_20.txt**). For **Part a**, the boxplots can be made by passing a *formula* to **boxplot()**, for example:

```
> boxplot(MPa ~ Adhesive + Condition + Adhesive:Condition, data =
my.data)
```

For **Part b**, you may use the **aov()** function in R, as above. For **Part c**, you can add a column named **Treatment** indicating the four treatments to your data frame:

```
> my.data$Treatment <- c("SBPDry", "SBPDry", ... "OBPMoist")
```

and then carry out the **one-factor ANOVA**, followed by **Tukey's procedure**, using:

```
> my.anova <- aov(MPa ~ Treatment, data = my.data)
> TukeyHSD(my.anova)
```

Note that the result is the same as if you had performed Tukey's procedure on the **group means** in a **two-factor ANOVA** by typing:

```
> my.anova <- aov(MPa ~ Adhesive + Condition + Adhesive:Condition,
                 data = my.data)
> TukeyHSD(my.anova)
```

**** **Problem 31** may be done in R using **aov()**. The data are on the course website (**ex_11_31.txt**). Because there's only one observation per group, there aren't enough data to fit the full model *and* estimate σ , so you should **leave the three-factor interaction out** of the model:

```
> my.anova <- aov(NickelWt ~ Power + Speed + PasteThickness
                 + Power:Speed + Power:PasteThickness
                 + Speed:PasteThickness, data = my.data)
```

Note that the SSE and MSE for this model are the same as the SSAB and MSAB that you'd get if you fit the full model (try it!).

For **Part d**, you can type (using **my.anova** that *doesn't* include the three-factor interaction):

```
> TukeyHSD(my.anova)
```

then refer to the **\$PasteThickness** part of the output.

Additional Problem

1. A three factor experiment is conducted to investigate the yields of three different varieties of rice grown under controlled greenhouse conditions. All three **varieties** (Factor B) were grown under two levels of **fertilizer** (Factor C) and two levels of **sunshine** (Factor A).

The response variable is the rice yield, and there were four *replicates* of the experiment (i.e. four observations per cell). The data are shown below and are also in the file **rice.txt**.

| Rice Variety 1 (j = 1) | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Low Fertilizer (k=1) | High Fertilizer (k=2) |
| Low Sunshine (i=1) | 86 | 109 |
| | 115 | 87 |
| | 83 | 91 |
| | 70 | 97 |
| High Sunshine (i=2) | 78 | 99 |
| | 63 | 108 |
| | 91 | 116 |
| | 80 | 93 |

| Rice Variety 2 (j=2) | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Low Fertilizer (k=1) | High Fertilizer (k=2) |
| Low Sunshine (i=1) | 102 | 104 |
| | 86 | 114 |
| | 68 | 99 |
| | 85 | 113 |
| High Sunshine (i=2) | 92 | 85 |
| | 78 | 117 |
| | 83 | 99 |
| | 87 | 99 |

| Rice Variety 3 (j = 3) | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Low Fertilizer (k=1) | High Fertilizer (k=2) |
| Low Sunshine (i=1) | 75 | 99 |
| | 9 | 70 |
| | 68 | 80 |
| | 95 | 109 |
| High Sunshine (i=2) | 103 | 116 |
| | 115 | 125 |
| | 95 | 114 |
| | 118 | 111 |

Read the data into an R *data frame* named, say, **my.data** using **read.table()**.

- i. Write out the **full three-factor ANOVA model** for the data. Be sure to **state any assumptions** associated with the random error term in the model.
- ii. State the three sets of **hypotheses** for **main effects** that are tested by the three-factor ANOVA F tests in terms of the model parameters α_i , β_j , and δ_k .
- iii. State the three sets of **hypotheses** for **two-factor interaction effects** that are tested by the three-factor ANOVA F tests in terms of the model parameters γ_{ij}^{AB} , γ_{ik}^{AC} , and γ_{jk}^{BC} .

- iv. State the null and alternative **hypotheses** for **three-factor interaction effect** that are tested by the three-factor ANOVA F tests in terms of the model parameters γ_{ijk}^{ABC} .
- v. Carry out the three-factor ANOVA and report the **ANOVA table**, using either:

```
> my.anova <- aov(Yield ~ Sunshine + Variety + Fertilizer
+ Sunshine:Variety + Sunshine:Fertilizer
+ Variety:Fertilizer
+ Sunshine:Variety:Fertilizer,
data = my.data)
```

or:

```
> my.anova <- aov(Yield ~ Sunshine*Variety*Fertilizer,
data = my.data)
```

followed by:

```
> summary(my.anova)
```

- vi. Based on the ANOVA F tests, answer the following questions:
- Is the three-factor interaction effect statistically significant? Give an **F statistic** value and **p-value** to support your answer.
 - Recall that we only proceed with the test for a lower-order effect if it isn't involved in a significant higher-order interaction. Based on the result of the F test for the three-factor interaction, **is it reasonable to proceed** with the tests for two-factor interactions? Explain.
 - Is fertilizer more effective with some varieties of rice than others? Give an **F statistic** value and **p-value** to support your answer.
 - Does the effect of fertilizer differ depending on how much sunlight there is? Give an **F statistic** value and **p-value** to support your answer.
 - Does the amount of sunlight affect the yield of some rice varieties more than others? Give an **F statistic** value and **p-value** to support your answer.
 - We only proceed with the test for a lower-order effect if it isn't involved in a significant higher-order interaction. Based on the

results of the F tests for the two-factor and three-factor interactions, **is it reasonable to proceed** with the tests for all three main effects? If not, which ones should we proceed with? Explain.

- g) Does fertilizer have an effect on the rice yield? Give an **F statistic** value and **p-value** to support your answer.
 - h) Does variety of rice have **any** effect on the yield? Explain.
 - i) Does sunshine have **any** effect on the yield? Explain.
- vii. Check the **normality assumption** required for the ANOVA F test by making a histogram and a normal probability plot of the residuals:
- > **hist(my.anova\$residuals)**
 - > **qqnorm (my.anova\$residuals)**
 - > **qqline (my.anova\$residuals)**
- viii. Check the **constant standard deviation assumption** by plotting the residuals (**my.anova\$residuals**) on the *y* axis versus the fitted (or predicted) values (**my.anova\$fitted.values**) on the *x* axis. After making the plot, add a horizontal line to it at $y = 0$ by typing:
- > **plot(x = my.anova\$fitted.values , y = my.anova\$residuals)**
 - > **abline (h = 0)**