Homework 9 MTH 3220, Fall 2019 Can be handed in Tue., Dec. 3 (after Fall Break)

For any problems that involve computations, you must **show your work** to receive full credit.

Section in Book	Problems
14.1	2, 7, 11*
14.3	Problem 1 (below), 27, 31

* The data for **Problem 11** are in the file **ex_14_11.txt**. For **Part** *a*, the R function **qnorm()** will return the normal distribution quantiles (percentiles) used to define the class intervals. For example, the values returned by the following commands will divide the N(0, 1) distribution into equi-probable intervals each having probability 1/6:

> qnorm(p = 1/6, mean = 0, sd = 1)
> qnorm(p = 2/6, mean = 0, sd = 1)
:
> qnorm(p = 5/6, mean = 0, sd = 1)

For **Part** *b*, the quantiles are obtained from:

For **Part** *c*, the function **sort()** will make it easier to tally up how many observations fall into each of the intervals from **Part** *b*, for example:

> sort (my.data\$x)

Additional Problem

1. A study from the University of Texas Medical Center examined whether the risk of hepatitis C was related to whether or not people had tattoos. A sample of n = 626 patients being treated for non-blood-related disorders was tested for hepatitis C and asked whether they had any tattoos. The counts are shown below.*

		Hepatitis C		
		Yes	No	Total
Tattoo	Yes	25	88	113
	No	22	491	513
	Total	47	579	626

a) The *estimated conditional probability* that a patient will have hepatitis C, given that he or she has a tattoo, is the fraction of individuals that have hepatitis C among those that have tattoos, i.e.

Estimated conditional probability
$$=\frac{n_{11}}{n_{11}}$$
.

The *estimated conditional probability* that a patient will have hepatitis C, given that he or she *doesn't* have a tattoo, is the fraction of individuals that have hepatitis C among those who *don't* have tattoos, i.e.

Estimated conditional probability = $\frac{n_{21}}{n_{22}}$.

Compute these two **estimated conditional probabilities**. Which one is higher?

b) The *estimated marginal column probabilities*, denoted $\hat{p}_{.1}$ and $\hat{p}_{.2}$, are defined as

 $\hat{p}_{\cdot 1} = \frac{n_{\cdot 1}}{n}$ and $\hat{p}_{\cdot 2} = \frac{n_{\cdot 2}}{n}$

Thus $\hat{p}_{.1}$ is the fraction of individuals that have hepatitis C in the entire sample, and $\hat{p}_{.2}$ is the fraction that *don't* have hepatitis C.

Compute $\hat{p}_{\cdot 1}$ and $\hat{p}_{\cdot 2}$. Which one is larger?

- c) Carry out a chi-squared test for independence between hepatitis C status and tattoo presence. Use a level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$.
- d) Verify that the sample size n = 626 is large enough to justify the use of the chi-squared test: The **estimated expected counts**, \hat{e}_{11} , \hat{e}_{12} , \hat{e}_{21} , and \hat{e}_{22}

$$\hat{e}_{ij} = n\hat{p}_{i}.\hat{p}_{\cdot j} = \frac{n_i.n_{\cdot j}}{n}$$

should all be at least five.

*Source: De Veaux, Velleman, and Bock